AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY Faculty of Philology Department of Turkology and Language Theory

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: IYa 1105, IYa 1108 ID 1658212

«Foreign Language»

Educational program "6B02209 – Turkology" Bachelor's degree program

 $\begin{array}{c} Course-1 \\ Semester-2 \\ Number of credits-5 \end{array}$

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The aim of the discipline: to develop and improve knowledge of foreign language communicative competence. The main methods of speech skills and abilities of foreign language communication as a basis for developing communicative competence are considered; development of professionally significant skills and experience of foreign language communication in all types of speech activity; implementation of acquired speech skills in the process of searching, selecting and using material in English.

Learning outcomes in the discipline:

- 1. Read and understand a range of academic texts in English, demonstrating knowledge of appropriate reading techniques including scanning, annotating, predicting results, drawing conclusions and identifying stated or implied main ideas and supporting details;
- 2. Complete written tasks following given instructions, using an extended vocabulary and a range of grammatical structures;
 - 3. Demonstrate skills in debating, discussing and arguing in English;
- 4. Demonstrate listening skills for a general understanding of academic and professional discourse, such as lecture material;
 - 5. Develop skills in using specific vocabulary commonly used in an academic environment.

Main topics studied in the discipline.

Module 1. Infinitive and Gerund
Uses of the Infinitive with to
Uses of the Gerund (verb + -ing)
Should + Verb (infinitive without to)
First Conditional (If + Present, Will + Infinitive)
Second Conditional (If + Past, Would + Infinitive)

Module 2. Present Perfect and Past Simple Present Perfect + for/since Present Perfect or Past Simple? (2) Passive (be + Past Participle) Used to / Didn't used to (+ verb) Expressing movement

Module 3. Word order of phrasal verbs. Past Perfect. Reported Speech. Word order of phrasal verbs
So, neither + auxiliaries
Past Perfect
Reported Speech
Questions without auxiliaries

List of recommended sources.

Main literature:

- 1. Christina Latham-Koenig, Clive Oxenden, Jerry Lambert. English File Pre Intermediate. Student's Book. 3rd edition, Oxford University Press 2012.
- 2. Arline Burgmeier, Cheryl Boyd Zimmerman. Inside reading 1. The academic word list in context. Special edition. 2009.
 - 3. Els Van Geyte. Collins Reading For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.
 - 4. Anneli Williams. Collins Vocabulary For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.

Additional literature:

- 1. Els Van Geyte. Collins Writing For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.
- 2. Karen Kovacs. Collins Speaking For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.
- 3. Fiona Aish. Collins Listening For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.

Internet resources:

1. http://elibrary.kaznu.kz/ru

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD WRITTEN EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

- 2.1. Exam format: Standard written examination (offline). Platform: IS Univer.
- 2.2. The purpose of the written exam is to demonstrate the learning outcomes, skills and competencies acquired during the study of the discipline, the ability to logically express one's thoughts in writing, and argue one's point of view.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One written exam card contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the course studied and are assessed according to the criteria described below:

Question 1 - Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.

Question 2 - Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.

Question 3 - Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.

2.4. The examination procedure.

- 2.4.1. The standard written offline exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.
- 2.4.2. 15 minutes before the start of the offline written exam, the teacher on duty checks the students' identities using their ID cards, and seats the students in the seats indicated on the attendance sheets.
- 2.4.3. In the event that a substitute person appears at the offline written exam, the teacher on duty draws up a corresponding report of violation of these Rules.
 - 2.4.4. Late students will not be allowed to take the exam.
- 2.4.5. During the exam, the teacher on duty monitors students' compliance with the rules of conduct in accordance with the approved instructions.
 - 2.4.6. At the end of the time allotted for the exam (2 astronomical hours), the teacher on duty:
 - 1) collects examination papers;
 - 2) puts in each work a sign of the end of writing the work in the answer sheets the letter X;
- 3) provides answer sheets along with attendance sheets for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office.
- 2.4.7. In case of delay in providing work for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office, a corresponding act is drawn up with subsequent prosecution of the perpetrators.
- 2.4.8. During the exam, students are prohibited from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information. It is prohibited to talk with other students and strangers, or to write down your full name and/or other identifying information in your answers.
- 2.4.9. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."
 - 2.4.10. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.11. If a student violates one or more of these points, an Act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
- 2.4.12. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.
- 2.4.13. The final grade for the discipline can be canceled within 1 month after the exam, if a student is found to have violated the instructions for conducting final control using distance learning technologies and/or rules of behavior during the exam: using cheat sheets, cell phones, negotiating, etc. based on recordings from surveillance cameras with filling out the Report. The act cannot be annulled or appealed.
 - 2.4.14. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

RUBRICTOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Discipline: Foreign language. Form: Standard written examination (offline). Platform: IS Univer

Question 3	7 11 0 90 33	Question 2 C	° p	Question 1		No.
Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the	Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution a of the main problem figuren in the practical task.	Criterion 3. Application I of the selected technology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.	Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.	I. Knowledge ory and fthe course; resentation.	Criterion	Score
Consistent, logical and correct justification of scientific principles and the	Scientific concepts are freely applied to the task at hand, followed by a logical and evidence-based disclosure of the main problem.	Criterion 3. Application Excellent completion of the of the selected training assignment, a methodology and technology to written answer to the question posed, answer to the question posed practical tasks. Criterion followed by solving practical with an incomplete solution the problems of the course. Solution of the main problem given in the practical task.	A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained correctly.	An "excellent" grade is given for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the developed classroom topics.	«Excellent» 90-100 %	
3-4 inaccuracies in the use of conceptual material, minor errors in generalizations and	The student's knowledge is adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains minor factual errors, which he can correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	Criterion 3. Application Excellent completion of the of the selected training assignment, a methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion of the educational assignment, a detailed, reasoned written answer to the question posed, answer to the question posed and theoretical with an incomplete solution to the practical problems of the course is language norms in the course. Partial completion of the deducational assignment, a incomplete, sometimes reasoned logical sequence, factual and theoretical with an incomplete solution to the practical problems of the course is language norms in the course.	A comprehensive answer A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was supported by specific examples. given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained correctly.	An "excellent" grade is given for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the developed classroom topics. An "good" grade is given for an answer that contains a complete answer that contains a complete the issue, an abbreviated argumentation of the main points, and allows for a violation of the logic and consistently, and is supported sequence of presentation of the material. The answer contains stylistic errors and inaccurate use of terms.	«Good» 70-89 %	
There are conclusions on the applicability of substantiated gross mistakes, the ar scientific provisions are vague to the questions were	There is no meaningfulness of the material provided, there is no understanding of interdisciplinary connections.	The material is presented in fragments, in violation of logical sequence, factual and semantic inaccuracies are made, and theoretical knowledge of the course is used superficially.	The student does not illustrate theoretical concepts with examples from the developed class notes.	A "satisfactory" grade is given for an answer that contains incomplete coverage of the questions proposed in the ticket, superficially argues the main points, and allows compositional imbalances in the presentation, violations of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material.	«Satisfactory» 50-69 %	DESCRIPTORS
ed with	no meaningfulness of The student finds it difficult rial provided, there is to answer most of the additional questions on the iplinary connections. content of the exam or does not give the correct answers.	An irrational method of solving a task or an insufficiently thought-out answer plan; inability to solve problems, perform tasks in general; making mistakes and omissions that exceeds the norm.	Key concepts for the training The student does not provice course contained in examples to support the maquestions are interpreted with theoretical principles of the significant errors. course.	An "unsatisfactory" grade is An "unsatisfactory" given for incorrect coverage also given for the questions posed, erroneous argumentation, factual and verbal errors, and final control. for the assumption of an incorrect conclusion.	«Unsatisfactory» 25-49%	
The task has not been completed, there are no answers to the questions	The student did not fully understand the material. Violation of the Rules for final control.	Inability to apply knowledge and algorithms to solve tasks; inability to draw conclusions and generalizations. Violation of the Rules for final control.	The student does not provide examples to support the main theoretical principles of the course.	An "unsatisfactory" grade is also given for ignorance of basic concepts and theories; for violation of the Rules for final control.	factory» 0-24 %	

		E.												
		,	one's own practice.	result obtained from	Justification of the	Criterion 6.						task.	the proposed practical	chosen methodology to technology, literacy,
8			student's own practice.	result obtained frommaterials, including from the schools and directions with	the examples and visuals.	The answer is illustrated with	conclusions.	affect the generally correct	are allowed, which do not	presentation of the material	inaccuracies in the	of scientific language, 1-2	compliance with the norms	technology, literacy,
card with some inaccuracies.	practice on the issue of the examin writing.	obtained from one's own	justification of the result	schools and directions with	existing theories, scientific	The answer is illustrated with Analysis of 3-4 provisions of							level of task completion.	do not affect the good overall
	nin writing.	independently reproducing it	program with difficulties in	accordance with the training	volume of material in	Poor application of the main					practical decision.	in processing the results of a	errors, as well as inaccuracies were poorly used.	stylistic and grammatical
. 10				to problematic questions.	in providing written answers course methods when giving	Demonstration of difficulty Lack of ability to apply							were poorly used.	material and argumentation tools have not been used.
			Rules for final control.	examples. Violation of the	course methods when giving	Lack of ability to apply								tools have not been used.

Formula for calculating the final grade:

Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

	<u> </u>	6. C	5.	4. C	3. C	2. C	1. C	0	16
	Final %	Criterion 6	Criterion 5	Criterion 4	Criterion 3	Criterion 2	Criterion 1	Criterion	Score
9	200		100			2	100	90-100 %	«Excellent»
5	75					75		70-89%	«Good»
	60				60			50-69%	«Satisfactory»
¥	94	49		45			e .	25-49%	
429 / 6 criteria = 71.5 Final score, as $\frac{9}{10} = 72$	200+75+60+94=429							0-24%	«Unsatisfactory»

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be tated 72 points "Good" in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Teacher ARCETCHOR	Head of Department	Dean Republic Republi
A.K. K	0/4/0	2